

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/780,991	02/18/2004	Kazumasa Tsukamoto	939_057	3312		
25191 7	7590 11/01/2005		EXAMINER			
BURR & BROWN			STONE, JENNIFER A			
PO BOX 7068						
SYRACUSE,	NY 13261-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2636			
			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 A	<u> </u>					
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/780,991		TSUKAMOTO, KAZUMASA				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jennifer A. Sto		2636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS ( 36(a). In no event, he will apply and will exp , cause the applicatio	COMMUNICATION DWEVER, may a reply be time ire SIX (6) MONTHS from In to become ABANDONED	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-f	formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers							
		_						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 18 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	e: a)⊠ accepto drawing(s) be he ion is required if	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 15, 2004</u> .		☐ Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da ☐ Notice of Informal Pa ☐ Other:	te	)-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/780,991

Art Unit: 2636

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. <u>Claims 3 and 4</u> recite the limitation "the predetermined area" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. <u>Claims 1-8</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wischerop et al. (US 5,955,951).

For claim 1, Wischerop discloses a stuck state detection seal comprising: a sealing base member to be stuck to an article (Fig. 3, items 50, 58; col 5, ln 32); and a sealing surface member to be stuck to said article being overlapped on said sealing base member (Fig. 3, items 52, 54; col 5, lns 29, 30); wherein a stuck state detection means for detecting a stuck state between said sealing base member and said sealing surface member and for generating a stuck state signal that represents the detected stuck state (col 8, lns 23-30; Fig. 6, items 110-114), and a stuck state signal transmission means for transmitting said stuck state signal are provided between said sealing base member and said sealing surface member (Fig. 4, item 76, lns 63-67).

For claim 2, Wischerop discloses the stuck state detection means includes: a switching means for switching operation depending upon a stuck state between said sealing base member and said sealing surface member; a switched state signal generating means for generating a switched state signal corresponding to the switching operation of said switching means, and a control means for generating a stuck state signal corresponding to the switched state signal that is generated (col 5, lns 56,57; Fig. 3, item 64; Fig. 4, items 70, 72, 76; col 50-59).

For claim 3, Wischerop discloses a takeout state signal transmission/reception means for transmitting and receiving a takeout state signal representing whether an article with the seal is authorized to be taken out from a predetermined area is provided between said sealing base member and said sealing surface member (col 2, lns 58-61; Fig. 1, item 14; col 7, lns 66, 67; col 8, lns 1-5, 23-28, and 35-43).

For claim 4, a takeout state signal transmission/reception means for transmitting and receiving a takeout state signal representing whether an article with the seal is authorized to be taken out from a predetermined area is provided between said sealing base member and said sealing surface member (col 5, lns 56, 57).

For claim 5, the stuck state detection system for detecting, in a predetermined area, the stuck state between the sealing base member and the sealing surface member of the stuck state detection seal, wherein a stuck state signal reception means for receiving a stuck state signal transmitted from said stuck state detection seal is provided (col 8, lns 22-27 and 45-48). The transponder is considered the receiving means, for it receives an interrogation signal from the reader (Fig. 5, item 26).

For claim 6, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 5 as stated above.

Page 4

For claim 7, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejections of claims 5 and 6 as stated above. In addition, Wischerop discloses a takeout state signal transmission means for transmitting a takeout state signal to the takeout state signal transmission/reception means in said stuck state detection seal (Fig. 4, item 64; col 54, lns 56-63); a takeout state signal reception means for receiving a takeout state signal transmission/reception means (Fig. 4, item 64; col 54, lns 56-63), and a judging means for judging whether the takeout of the article stuck with the seal is permitted or not based upon the takeout state signal received by said takeout state signal reception means (Fig. 6, item 20; col 8, lns 35-43), wherein the stuck state is detected based on the stuck state signal received by said stuck state signal reception means and unauthorized takeout of said article stuck with the seal is prevented based on the judgment by said judging means (col 8, lns 45-50).

For claim 8, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 7 as stated above.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Senba et al. (US 2004/0074974) discloses an RFID tag with a surface member that overlaps a base member and includes a transmission and reception circuit sandwiched between both members.

Bowers et al. (US 6,195,006) discloses EAS tags to prevent unauthorized removal of the tags from merchandise or the merchandise from a store.

Zarembo et al. (US 5,447,219) discloses an EAS tag that includes numerous layers for the prevention of unauthorized removal of the tags from merchandise or the merchandise from a store.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer A Stone whose telephone number is (571) 272.2976. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass, can be reached at (571) 272.2981. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer Stone October 19, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600